arXiv:1206.1501v2 [math.OA] 8 Jun 2012

OUTGOING CUNTZ SCATTERING SYSTEM FOR A COISOMETRIC LIFTING AND TRANSFER FUNCTION

Kalpesh J. Haria

Department of Mathematics,
Indian Institute of Technology Bombay,
Powai, Mumbai- 400076, India
E-mail: kalpesh@math.iitb.ac.in

Abstract

We study a coisometry that intertwines Popescu's presentations of minimal isometric dilations of a given operator tuple and of a coisometric lifting of the tuple. Using this we develop an outgoing Cuntz scattering system which gives rise to an input-output formalism. A transfer function is introduced for the system. We also compare the transfer function and the characteristic function for the associated lifting.

Key words: multivariate operator theory; row contraction; contractive lifting; outgoing Cuntz scattering system; transfer function; multi-analytic operator; input-output formalism; linear system; characteristic function.
Mathematics Subject Classification: 47A20, 47A13, 47A48, 47A40, 47L30, 13F25, 93C05

1 Introduction

The model of repeated interaction between quantum systems has been recently studied in [6] and an outgoing Cuntz scattering system was associated to the model. In [4] the authors gave a vast generalization of the Gohm's repeated interaction model using the theory of liftings of row contractions. We recall that in the generalized repeated interaction model of [4] we have the following operator theoretic data:

Let $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{K}$ be complex separable Hilbert spaces such that $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ be a subspace of $\mathcal{H}$ and $\Omega^{\mathcal{K}}$ be a distinguished unit vector of $\mathcal{K}$. Let $\mathcal{P}$ be a d-dimensional Hilbert space with an orthonormal basis $\{\epsilon_j\}_{j=1}^d$, and $U : \mathcal{H}\otimes\mathcal{K} \to \mathcal{H}\otimes\mathcal{P}$ and $\tilde{U} : \tilde{\mathcal{H}}\otimes\mathcal{K} \to \tilde{\mathcal{H}}\otimes\mathcal{P}$ be two unitaries such that

$$U(\tilde{h}\otimes\Omega^{\mathcal{K}}) = \tilde{U}(\tilde{h}\otimes\Omega^{\mathcal{K}}) \text{ for each } \tilde{h}\in\tilde{\mathcal{H}}. \tag{1.1}$$

The unitary $U : \mathcal{H}\otimes\mathcal{K} \to \mathcal{H}\otimes\mathcal{P}$ can be decomposed as

$$U(h\otimes\Omega^{\mathcal{K}}) = \sum_{j=1}^{d} E_j^* h\otimes\epsilon_j \text{ for each } h\in\mathcal{H},$$

where E_j's are some operators in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, for j = 1, . . . , d. Likewise there exist some operators C_j's in $\mathcal{B}(\tilde{\mathcal{H}})$ such that

$$\tilde{U}(\tilde{h} \otimes \Omega^{\mathcal{K}}) = \sum_{j=1}^{d} C_j^* \tilde{h} \otimes \epsilon_j \text{ for each } \ \tilde{h} \in \tilde{\mathcal{H}}.$$

Observe that $\sum_{j=1}^{d} E_j E_j^* = I$ and $\sum_{j=1}^{d} C_j C_j^* = I$, i.e., $\underline{E}$ and $\underline{C}$ are coisometric tuples. Then equation (1.1) yields that $E_j^* \tilde{h} = C_j^* \tilde{h}$ for each $\tilde{h} \in \tilde{\mathcal{H}}$, i.e., the tuple $\underline{E}$ is a *lifting* (cf. [3]) of the tuple $\underline{C}$. In this article we proceed in the opposite way, viz. we start with coisometric d-tuples $\underline{E} = (E_1, \ldots, E_d)$ and $\underline{C} = (C_1, \ldots, C_d)$ such that the tuple $\underline{E}$ is a lifting of the tuple $\underline{C}$, then associate a pair of unitaries to it but satisfying similar relations as above and then study the model.

The article is organized as follows: After associating a pair of unitaries to a given coisometric lifting as stated above, we identify a coisometry which intertwines corresponding minimal isometric dilations in section 2. We investigate the properties of this coisometry and express it as a limit of certain compositions of these unitaries. The Cuntz scattering system was introduced in [2] using the generalization of Lax-Phillips scattering system to a multivariate operator setting. In section 3 we study the forward part of a Cuntz scattering system which is called as an outgoing Cuntz scattering system in [2]. Let $\tilde{\Lambda}$ denote the free semi group with generators $1, \ldots, d$. Using an input-output formalism we define a colligation of operators [2] which gives rise to a $\tilde{\Lambda}$-linear system $\sum_{U,\tilde{U}}$. An application of the generalized Fourier transform to the $\tilde{\Lambda}$-linear system $\sum_{U,\tilde{U}}$ under zero initial condition leads to the input-output relation

$$\hat{y}(z) = \Theta_{U,\tilde{U}} \hat{u}(z)$$

between the Fourier transforms of input and output variables where $\Theta_{U,\tilde{U}}$ is the transfer function of the system. These transfer functions are multi-analytic operators. There are other approaches to transfer functions in [12] and [5].

Popescu introduced the characteristic function in [8] of a row contraction, and systematically developed an extensive theory of row contractions (cf. [9], [10]). We use some of the concepts from Popescu's theory in this work. In [3] Dey and Gohm described a class of multi-analytic operators which classify certain class of liftings and called them characteristic functions for liftings. We find a relation between the transfer function and the characteristic function for the associated lifting.

The following *multi-index notation* will be used frequently in this article. Suppose $T_1, \ldots, T_d \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{L})$ for a Hilbert space $\mathcal{L}$. If $\alpha \in \tilde{\Lambda}$ is a word $\alpha_1 \ldots \alpha_n$ with length $|\alpha| = n$ where each $\alpha_j \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$, then T_α denotes $T_{\alpha_1} \ldots T_{\alpha_n}$. For the empty word $\emptyset$ we define $|\emptyset| = 0$ and $T_\emptyset = I$. The full Fock space over $\mathbb{C}^d$ denoted by Γ is the Hilbert space

$$\Gamma := \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}^d \oplus (\mathbb{C}^d)^{\otimes^2} \oplus \ldots \oplus (\mathbb{C}^d)^{\otimes^m} \oplus \ldots.$$

The element $1 \oplus 0 \oplus \ldots$ of Γ is called the vacuum vector. Let $\{e_1, \ldots, e_d\}$ be the standard orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{C}^d$. For $\alpha = \alpha_1 \ldots \alpha_m \in \tilde{\Lambda}$, e_α will denote the vector $e_{\alpha_1} \otimes \ldots \otimes e_{\alpha_m}$ in the full Fock space Γ and $e_\emptyset$ will denote the vacuum vector. $\{e_\alpha : \alpha \in \tilde{\Lambda}\}$ forms an orthonormal basis of the full Fock space.

2 Unitaries Associated to a Coisometric Lifting

In this section we construct a coisometry associated to a coisometric lifting which plays an important role in this article. For simplicity we assume that d is finite throughout this article but all the results here can be derived also for $d = \infty$. A tuple $\underline{T} = (T_1, \ldots, T_d)$ of bounded linear operators on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{L}$ is said to be a *row contraction* if $\sum_{j=1}^d T_jT_j^* \leq I$. In particular if $\sum_{j=1}^d T_jT_j^* = I$, then the tuple $\underline{T} = (T_1, \ldots, T_d)$ is called a *coisometric.* If T_j's are isometries with orthogonal ranges, then the tuple $\underline{T} = (T_1, \ldots, T_d)$ is called a *row isometry.* Consider a row contraction $\underline{T}$ as a row operator from $\bigoplus_1^d \mathcal{L}$ to $\mathcal{L}$. Define $D_T := (I - \underline{T}^*\underline{T})^{\frac{1}{2}} : \bigoplus_1^d \mathcal{L} \to \bigoplus_1^d \mathcal{L}$ and let $\mathcal{D}_T := \overline{\text{Range } D_T}$. The (left) creation operators L_j's on Γ are defined by $L_j x = e_j \otimes x$ for $1 \leq j \leq d$ and $x \in \Gamma$. The tuple $\underline{L} = (L_1, \ldots, L_d)$ is a row isometry. Popescu in [7] gave the following explicit presentation of the minimal isometric dilation of $\underline{T}$ by $\underline{V}$ on $\hat{\mathcal{L}} = \mathcal{L} \oplus (\Gamma \otimes \mathcal{D}_T)$:

$$V_j(\ell \oplus \sum_{\alpha \in \tilde{\Lambda}} e_\alpha \otimes d_\alpha) = T_j\ell \oplus [e_\emptyset \otimes (D_T)_j\ell + e_j \otimes \sum_{\alpha \in \tilde{\Lambda}} e_\alpha \otimes d_\alpha] \tag{2.1}$$

where $\ell \in \mathcal{L}$, $d_\alpha \in \mathcal{D}_T$, and $(D_T)_j : \mathcal{L} \to \bigoplus_1^d \mathcal{L}$ is defined for $j = 1, \ldots, d$ by the $(D_T)_j\ell = D_T(0, \ldots, \ell, \ldots, 0)$ with ℓ is embedded at the j^{th} component. If in addition $\underline{T}$ is the coisometric tuple, then $\underline{V}$ is also the coisometric tuple.

Let $\underline{C} = (C_1, \ldots, C_d)$ be a coisometric tuple on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_C$, $\underline{E} = (E_1, \ldots, E_d)$ be a coisometric lifting of $\underline{C} = (C_1, \ldots, C_d)$ on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_E \supset \mathcal{H}_C$ and

$$E_j = \begin{pmatrix} C_j & 0 \\ B_j & A_j \end{pmatrix} \tag{2.2}$$

for $j = 1, \ldots, d$ with respect to the decomposition $\mathcal{H}_E = \mathcal{H}_C \oplus \mathcal{H}_C^\perp$. From now on we denote $\mathcal{H}_C^\perp$ by $\mathcal{H}_A$. Let $\underline{\widehat{V}}^E = (\widehat{V}_1^E, \ldots, \widehat{V}_d^E)$ and $\underline{\widehat{V}}^C = (\widehat{V}_1^C, \ldots, \widehat{V}_d^C)$ be minimal isometric dilations of the form given by equation (2.1) for tuples $\underline{E}$ and $\underline{C}$ on Hilbert spaces $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_E = \mathcal{H}_E \oplus (\Gamma \otimes \mathcal{D}_E)$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_C = \mathcal{H}_C \oplus (\Gamma \otimes \mathcal{D}_C)$ respectively. Let $\mathcal{P}$ be a d-dimensional Hilbert space with an orthonormal basis $\{\epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_d\}$. We define operators $\tilde{U} : \mathcal{H}_C \oplus \mathcal{D}_C \to \mathcal{H}_C \otimes \mathcal{P}$ and $U : \mathcal{H}_E \oplus \mathcal{D}_E \to \mathcal{H}_E \otimes \mathcal{P}$ as follows:

$$\tilde{U}(\tilde{h} \oplus y) := \sum_{j=1}^d (C_j^*\tilde{h} + (D_C)_j^* y) \otimes \epsilon_j = \sum_{j=1}^d (\widehat{V}_j^C)^*(\tilde{h} \oplus e_\emptyset \otimes y) \otimes \epsilon_j,$$

$$U(h \oplus \eta) := \sum_{j=1}^d (E_j^* h + (D_E)_j^* \eta) \otimes \epsilon_j = \sum_{j=1}^d (\widehat{V}_j^E)^*(h \oplus e_\emptyset \otimes \eta) \otimes \epsilon_j$$

where $\tilde{h} \in \mathcal{H}_C,\ y \in \mathcal{D}_C,\ h \in \mathcal{H}_E,$ and $\eta \in \mathcal{D}_E$.

We show that $\tilde{U}$ and U are unitaries. From the fact that $\underline{\widehat{V}}^C = (\widehat{V}_1^C, \ldots, \widehat{V}_d^C)$ is a coisometric tuple, it follows that $\tilde{U}$ is an isometry. We claim that $\tilde{U}$ is a surjective map. For $\sum_{j=1}^d \tilde{h}_j \otimes \epsilon_j \in \mathcal{H}_C \otimes \mathcal{P}$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{U}(\sum_{j=1}^d (C_j\tilde{h}_j \oplus (D_C)_j\tilde{h}_j) &= \sum_{j=1}^d \tilde{U}(C_j\tilde{h}_j \oplus (D_C)_j\tilde{h}_j) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^d \big(\sum_{i=1}^d (C_i^* C_j \tilde{h}_j + (D_C)_i^*(D_C)_j\tilde{h}_j) \otimes \epsilon_i \big) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^d \tilde{h}_j \otimes \epsilon_j \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality uses

$$(D_C)_i^*(D_C)_j = \begin{cases} -C_i^*C_j & \text{if } i \neq j; \\ I - C_j^*C_j & \text{if } i = j. \end{cases}$$

Thus $\tilde{U}$ is unitary. Similarly it can be shown that U is also unitary.

For the main theorem of this section, we need to introduce some operators. Let us define $\tilde{U}_1 : \widehat{\mathcal{H}}_C \to \mathcal{H}_C \otimes \mathcal{P} \oplus \big(\bigoplus_{m \geq 1} ((\mathbb{C}^d)^{\otimes^m} \otimes \mathcal{D}_C) \big)$ by the formula

$$\tilde{U}_1(\tilde{h} \oplus \sum_{\alpha \in \tilde{\Lambda}} e_\alpha \otimes y_\alpha) = \sum_{j=1}^{d} \big((C_j^* \tilde{h} + (D_C)_j^* y_\emptyset) \otimes \epsilon_j \big) \oplus \sum_{|\alpha| \geq 1} e_\alpha \otimes y_\alpha \tag{2.3}$$

where $\tilde{h} \in \mathcal{H}_C$ and $y_\alpha \in \mathcal{D}_C$. For each $n \geq 2$, let

$$\tilde{U}_n : \mathcal{H}_C \otimes \mathcal{P}_{[1,n-1]} \oplus \big(\bigoplus_{m \geq n-1} ((\mathbb{C}^d)^{\otimes^m} \otimes \mathcal{D}_C) \big) \to \mathcal{H}_C \otimes \mathcal{P}_{[1,n]} \oplus \big(\bigoplus_{m \geq n} ((\mathbb{C}^d)^{\otimes^m} \otimes \mathcal{D}_C) \big)$$

be defined for $\tilde{h}_{j_1,\ldots,j_{n-1}} \in \mathcal{H}_C$ and $y_{j_1 \ldots j_{n-1}},\ y_\alpha \in \mathcal{D}_C$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{U}_n\Big(&\sum_{j_1,\ldots,j_{n-1}=1}^{d} (\tilde{h}_{j_1 \ldots j_{n-1}} \otimes \epsilon_{j_1} \otimes \ldots \otimes \epsilon_{j_{n-1}}) \\ &\qquad \oplus \sum_{j_1,\ldots,j_{n-1}=1}^{d} (e_{j_1} \otimes \ldots \otimes e_{j_{n-1}} \otimes y_{j_1 \ldots j_{n-1}}) \oplus \sum_{|\alpha| \geq n} e_\alpha \otimes y_\alpha \Big) \\ = &\sum_{j_1,\ldots,j_n=1}^{d} \big((C_{j_n}^* \tilde{h}_{j_1 \ldots j_{n-1}} + (D_C)_{j_n}^* y_{j_1 \ldots j_{n-1}}) \otimes \epsilon_{j_1} \otimes \ldots \otimes \epsilon_{j_n} \big) \oplus \sum_{|\alpha| \geq n} e_\alpha \otimes y_\alpha. \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

It can be seen that $\tilde{U}_n$ is unitary for each $n \geq 1$, with the suitable modifications of the arguments which are used in proving $\tilde{U}$ is unitary.

Similarly, we define the unitary operator $U_1 : \widehat{\mathcal{H}}_E \to \mathcal{H}_E \otimes \mathcal{P} \oplus \big(\bigoplus_{m \geq 1} ((\mathbb{C}^d)^{\otimes^m} \otimes \mathcal{D}_E) \big)$ by the following formula

$$U_1(h \oplus \sum_{\alpha \in \tilde{\Lambda}} e_\alpha \otimes \eta_\alpha) = \sum_{j=1}^{d} \big((E_j^* h + (D_E)_j^* \eta_\emptyset) \otimes \epsilon_j \big) \oplus \sum_{|\alpha| \geq 1} e_\alpha \otimes \eta_\alpha \tag{2.5}$$

where $h \in \mathcal{H}_E$ and $\eta_\alpha \in \mathcal{D}_E$. For each $n \geq 2$, define the unitary

$$U_n : \mathcal{H}_E \otimes \mathcal{P}_{[1,n-1]} \oplus \big(\bigoplus_{m \geq n-1} ((\mathbb{C}^d)^{\otimes^m} \otimes \mathcal{D}_E) \big) \to \mathcal{H}_E \otimes \mathcal{P}_{[1,n]} \oplus \big(\bigoplus_{m \geq n} ((\mathbb{C}^d)^{\otimes^m} \otimes \mathcal{D}_E) \big)$$

for $h_{j_1 \ldots j_{n-1}} \in \mathcal{H}_E$ and $\eta_{j_1 \ldots j_{n-1}},\ \eta_\alpha \in \mathcal{D}_E$ by

$$\begin{aligned} U_n\Big(&\sum_{j_1,\ldots,j_{n-1}=1}^{d} (h_{j_1 \ldots j_{n-1}} \otimes \epsilon_{j_1} \otimes \ldots \otimes \epsilon_{j_{n-1}}) \\ &\qquad \oplus \sum_{j_1,\ldots,j_{n-1}=1}^{d} (e_{j_1} \otimes \ldots \otimes e_{j_{n-1}} \otimes \eta_{j_1 \ldots j_{n-1}}) \oplus \sum_{|\alpha| \geq n} e_\alpha \otimes \eta_\alpha \Big) \end{aligned}$$

$$= \sum_{j_1,\dots,j_n=1}^{d} \big((E^*_{j_n} h_{j_1\dots j_{n-1}} + (D_E)^*_{j_n}\eta_{j_1\dots j_{n-1}}) \otimes \epsilon_{j_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \epsilon_{j_n}\big) \oplus \sum_{|\alpha|\geq n} e_\alpha \otimes \eta_\alpha. \quad (2.6)$$

Since $\underline{E}$ is a lifting of $\underline{C}$, $E^*_j\tilde{h} = C^*_j\tilde{h}$ for each $\tilde{h} \in \mathcal{H}_C$. It follows from equations (2.3), (2.4), (2.5), and (2.6) that

$$\tilde{U}_1\tilde{h} = U_1\tilde{h} \text{ and } \tilde{U}_n(\tilde{h}\otimes\epsilon_{j_1}\otimes\dots\otimes\epsilon_{j_{n-1}}) = U_n(\tilde{h}\otimes\epsilon_{j_1}\otimes\dots\otimes\epsilon_{j_{n-1}}) \quad (2.7)$$

for each $\tilde{h} \in \mathcal{H}_C$, $1 \leq j_1,\dots,j_{n-1} \leq d$, and $n \geq 2$. For each $n \geq 1$, let Q_n denote the orthogonal projection of $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_C$ on to $\mathcal{H}_C \oplus \big(\bigoplus_{m\leq n-1}((\mathbb{C}^d)^{\otimes^m}\otimes\mathcal{D}_C)\big)$, and let P_n denote the orthogonal projection of $\mathcal{H}_E\otimes\mathcal{P}_{[1,n]} \oplus \big(\bigoplus_{m\geq n}((\mathbb{C}^d)^{\otimes^m}\otimes\mathcal{D}_E)\big)$ on to $\mathcal{H}_C\otimes\mathcal{P}_{[1,n]}$.

We are now ready to prove the main result of this section.

Theorem 2.1. *If P_n and Q_n are the orthogonal projections as defined above for each $n \geq 1$, then*

$$sot - \lim_{n\to\infty} \tilde{U}^*_1\dots\tilde{U}^*_n P_n U_n \dots U_1.$$

exists. This limit is a coisometry, say $\widehat{W} : \widehat{\mathcal{H}}_E \to \widehat{\mathcal{H}}_C$. Its adjoint $\widehat{W}^* : \widehat{\mathcal{H}}_C \to \widehat{\mathcal{H}}_E$ is given by

$$sot - \lim_{n\to\infty} U^*_1\dots U^*_n\tilde{U}_n\dots\tilde{U}_1 Q_n$$

Here sot denotes for the strong operator topology.

Proof. Let us begin by the dense linear manifold $\bigcup_{l\geq1}\Big(\mathcal{H}_C\oplus\big(\bigoplus_{m\leq l-1}((\mathbb{C}^d)^{\otimes^m}\otimes\mathcal{D}_C)\big)\Big)$ of $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_C$. Assume $\tilde{h}\in\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ and $y_\alpha\in\mathcal{D}_C$ for all $\alpha\in\tilde{\Lambda}$ such that $|\alpha|\leq k-1$, for some positive integer k. We show that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} U^*_1\dots U^*_n\tilde{U}_n\dots\tilde{U}_1 Q_n\Big(\tilde{h}\oplus\sum_{|\alpha|\leq k-1} e_\alpha\otimes y_\alpha\Big)$$

exists. For each $n\geq1$, set $s_n = U^*_1\dots U^*_n\tilde{U}_n\dots\tilde{U}_1 Q_n\Big(\tilde{h}\oplus\sum_{|\alpha|\leq k-1} e_\alpha\otimes y_\alpha\Big)$. It follows from equation (2.7) that $s_k = s_{k+j}$ for each $j\geq1$. Thus

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} U^*_1\dots U^*_n\tilde{U}_n\dots\tilde{U}_1 Q_n\Big(\tilde{h}\oplus\sum_{|\alpha|\leq k-1} e_\alpha\otimes y_\alpha\Big)$$

exists and it is s_k. We observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\lim_{n\to\infty} U^*_1\dots U^*_n\tilde{U}_n\dots\tilde{U}_1 Q_n\Big(\tilde{h}\oplus\sum_{|\alpha|\leq k-1} e_\alpha\otimes y_\alpha\Big) = \|s_k\| \\
= \;& \|U^*_1\dots U^*_k\tilde{U}_k\dots\tilde{U}_1 Q_k\Big(\tilde{h}\oplus\sum_{|\alpha|\leq k-1} e_\alpha\otimes y_\alpha\Big)\| \\
= \;& \|U^*_1\dots U^*_k\tilde{U}_k\dots\tilde{U}_1\Big(\tilde{h}\oplus\sum_{|\alpha|\leq k-1} e_\alpha\otimes y_\alpha\Big)\| \\
= \;& \|\tilde{h}\oplus\sum_{|\alpha|\leq k-1} e_\alpha\otimes y_\alpha\|.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence $sot-\lim\limits_{n\to\infty} U_1^*\dots U_n^*\tilde{U}_n\dots\tilde{U}_1Q_n$ defines an isometry on the dense linear manifold $\bigcup\limits_{l\geq 1}\Big(\mathcal{H}_C\oplus (\bigoplus\limits_{m\leq l-1}((\mathbb{C}^d)^{\otimes^m}\otimes\mathcal{D}_C))\Big)$ of $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_C$. By continuity, it extends to an isometry $\widehat{R}$ from $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_C$ to $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_E$. Its adjoint $\widehat{R}^*:\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_E\to\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_C$ is a coisomerty and so just need to rename $\widehat{R}^*$ as $\widehat{W}$.

Let $h\in\mathcal{H}_E$ and $\eta_\alpha\in\mathcal{D}_E$ for all $\alpha\in\tilde{\Lambda}$ such that $|\alpha|\leq k-1$, for some positive integer k. Let $n\geq k$, $\tilde{h}\in\mathcal{H}_C$ and $y_\beta\in\mathcal{D}_C$ for all $\beta\in\tilde{\Lambda}$, $|\beta|\leq n-1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big\langle\widehat{W}\big(h\oplus\sum_{|\alpha|\leq k-1}e_\alpha\otimes\eta_\alpha\big),\ \tilde{h}\oplus\sum_{|\beta|\leq n-1}e_\beta\otimes y_\beta\big\rangle\\
=\ &\big\langle h\oplus\sum_{|\alpha|\leq k-1}e_\alpha\otimes\eta_\alpha,\ U_1^*\dots U_n^*\tilde{U}_n\dots\tilde{U}_1Q_n\big(\tilde{h}\oplus\sum_{|\beta|\leq n-1}e_\beta\otimes y_\beta\big)\big\rangle\\
=\ &\big\langle\tilde{U}_1^*\dots\tilde{U}_n^*P_nU_n\dots U_1\big(h\oplus\sum_{|\alpha|\leq k-1}e_\alpha\otimes\eta_\alpha\big),\ \tilde{h}\oplus\sum_{|\beta|\leq n-1}e_\beta\otimes y_\beta\big\rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows from the above calculations that

$$Q_n\widehat{W}\big(h\oplus\sum_{|\alpha|\leq k-1}e_\alpha\otimes\eta_\alpha\big)=\tilde{U}_1^*\dots\tilde{U}_n^*P_nU_n\dots U_1\big(h\oplus\sum_{|\alpha|\leq k-1}e_\alpha\otimes\eta_\alpha\big).$$

By the fact that $sot-\lim\limits_{n\to\infty}Q_n=I$, we conclude that

$$\widehat{W}\big(h\oplus\sum_{|\alpha|\leq k-1}e_\alpha\otimes\eta_\alpha\big)=\lim_{n\to\infty}\tilde{U}_1^*\dots\tilde{U}_n^*P_nU_n\dots U_1\big(h\oplus\sum_{|\alpha|\leq k-1}e_\alpha\otimes\eta_\alpha\big).$$

Finally, we extend this formula to the whole of $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_E$ by continuity. □

The following result shows that the coisometry $\widehat{W}$ and its adjoint $\widehat{W}^*$ intertwine the tuples $\underline{\widehat{V}}^E$ and $\underline{\widehat{V}}^C$.

Proposition 2.2. *For $j=1,\dots,d$, $\widehat{W}\widehat{V}_j^E=\widehat{V}_j^C\widehat{W}$ and $\widehat{V}_j^E\widehat{W}^*=\widehat{W}^*\widehat{V}_j^C$.*

Proof. Let $h\in\mathcal{H}_E$ and $\eta_\alpha\in\mathcal{D}_E$ for all $\alpha\in\tilde{\Lambda}$ such that $\sum_{\alpha\in\tilde{\Lambda}}\|\eta_\alpha\|^2<\infty$. Suppose $\tilde{h}\in\mathcal{H}_C$ and $y_\beta\in\mathcal{D}_C$ for all $\beta\in\tilde{\Lambda}$ such that $|\beta|\leq n-1$, for some positive integer n. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big\langle\widehat{W}\widehat{V}_j^E\big(h\oplus\sum_{\alpha\in\tilde{\Lambda}}e_\alpha\otimes\eta_\alpha\big),\ \tilde{h}\oplus\sum_{|\beta|\leq n-1}e_\beta\otimes y_\beta\big\rangle\\
=\ &\big\langle\widehat{V}_j^E\big(h\oplus\sum_{\alpha\in\tilde{\Lambda}}e_\alpha\otimes\eta_\alpha\big),\ \widehat{W}^*\big(\tilde{h}\oplus\sum_{|\beta|\leq n-1}e_\beta\otimes y_\beta\big)\big\rangle\\
=\ &\big\langle E_jh+e_\emptyset\otimes(D_E)_jh+e_j\otimes\sum_{\alpha\in\tilde{\Lambda}}e_\alpha\otimes\eta_\alpha,\ U_1^*\dots U_n^*\tilde{U}_n\dots\tilde{U}_1\big(\tilde{h}\oplus\sum_{|\beta|\leq n-1}e_\beta\otimes y_\beta\big)\big\rangle\\
=\ &\big\langle U_1^*\big(h\otimes\epsilon_j\oplus e_j\otimes\sum_{\alpha\in\tilde{\Lambda}}e_\alpha\otimes\eta_\alpha\big),\ U_1^*\dots U_n^*\tilde{U}_n\dots\tilde{U}_2\big(\sum_{i=1}^d((C_i^*\tilde{h}+(D_C)_i^*y_\emptyset)\otimes\epsilon_i)\\
&\oplus\sum_{1\leq|\beta|\leq n-1}e_\beta\otimes y_\beta\big)\big\rangle
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \Big\langle \big(h \otimes \epsilon_j \oplus e_j \otimes \sum_{\alpha \in \tilde{\Lambda}} e_\alpha \otimes \eta_\alpha\big),\ U_2^* \dots U_n^* \tilde{U}_n \dots \tilde{U}_2 \big(\sum_{i=1}^{d} ((C_i^* \tilde{h} + (D_C)_i^* y_\emptyset) \otimes \epsilon_i) \\
&\qquad \oplus \sum_{1 \le |\beta| \le n-1} e_\beta \otimes y_\beta) \big) \Big\rangle \\
&= \Big\langle h \oplus \sum_{\alpha \in \tilde{\Lambda}} e_\alpha \otimes \eta_\alpha,\ U_1^* \dots U_{n-1}^* \tilde{U}_{n-1} \dots \tilde{U}_1 \big(C_j^* \tilde{h} + (D_C)_j^* y_\emptyset \oplus L_j^* \otimes I(\sum_{1 \le |\beta| \le n-1} e_\beta \otimes y_\beta) \big) \Big\rangle \\
&= \Big\langle h \oplus \sum_{\alpha \in \tilde{\Lambda}} e_\alpha \otimes \eta_\alpha,\ \widehat{W}^* (\widehat{V}_j^C)^* \big(\tilde{h} \oplus \sum_{|\beta| \le n-1} e_\beta \otimes y_\beta \big) \Big\rangle \\
&= \Big\langle \widehat{V}_j^C \widehat{W} \big(h \oplus \sum_{\alpha \in \tilde{\Lambda}} e_\alpha \otimes \eta_\alpha \big),\ \tilde{h} \oplus \sum_{|\beta| \le n-1} e_\beta \otimes y_\beta \Big\rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $\widehat{W}\widehat{V}_j^E = \widehat{V}_j^C \widehat{W}$ for $j = 1, \dots, d$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{W}^* \widehat{V}_j^C \big(\tilde{h} \oplus \sum_{|\alpha| \le n-1} e_\alpha \otimes y_\alpha \big) &= \widehat{W}^* \tilde{U}_1^* \big(\tilde{h} \otimes \epsilon_j \oplus e_j \otimes \sum_{|\alpha| \le n-1} e_\alpha \otimes y_\alpha \big) \\
&= U_1^* \dots U_{n+1}^* \tilde{U}_{n+1} \dots \tilde{U}_1 \tilde{U}_1^* \big(\tilde{h} \otimes \epsilon_j \oplus e_j \otimes \sum_{|\alpha| \le n-1} e_\alpha \otimes y_\alpha \big) \\
&= U_1^* U_2^* \dots U_{n+1}^* \tilde{U}_{n+1} \dots \tilde{U}_2 \big(\tilde{h} \otimes \epsilon_j \oplus e_j \otimes \sum_{|\alpha| \le n-1} e_\alpha \otimes y_\alpha \big) \\
&= \widehat{V}_j^E \widehat{W}^* \big(\tilde{h} \oplus \sum_{|\alpha| \le n-1} e_\alpha \otimes y_\alpha \big).
\end{aligned}$$

By continuity, this extends to all of $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_C$. So $\widehat{V}_j^E \widehat{W}^* = \widehat{W}^* \widehat{V}_j^C$ for $j = 1, \dots, d$. □

We have $(\widehat{V}_j^E)^* \tilde{h} = E_j^* \tilde{h} = C_j^* \tilde{h}$ and $(\widehat{V}_j^C)^* \tilde{h} = C_j^* \tilde{h}$ for each $\tilde{h} \in \mathcal{H}_C$, i.e., $\mathcal{H}_C$ is covariant under $\widehat{V}_j^E$ and $\widehat{V}_j^C$. Thus $\widehat{V}_j^E(\mathcal{H}_A \oplus (\Gamma \otimes \mathcal{D}_E)) \subset \mathcal{H}_A \oplus (\Gamma \otimes \mathcal{D}_E)$ and $\widehat{V}_j^C(\Gamma \otimes \mathcal{D}_C) \subset \Gamma \otimes \mathcal{D}_C$. Define $V_j^E := \widehat{V}_j^E|_{\mathcal{H}_A \oplus (\Gamma \otimes \mathcal{D}_E)} : \mathcal{H}_A \oplus (\Gamma \otimes \mathcal{D}_E) \to \mathcal{H}_A \oplus (\Gamma \otimes \mathcal{D}_E)$ and $V_j^C := \widehat{V}_j^C|_{\Gamma \otimes \mathcal{D}_C} : \Gamma \otimes \mathcal{D}_C \to \Gamma \otimes \mathcal{D}_C$. In fact, $V_j^C = L_j \otimes I_{\mathcal{D}_C}$. Further note that $\widehat{W}\tilde{h} = \tilde{h}$ and $\widehat{W}^* \tilde{h} = \tilde{h}$ for each $\tilde{h} \in \mathcal{H}_C$. Define

$$W^* := \widehat{W}^*|_{\Gamma \otimes \mathcal{D}_C} : \Gamma \otimes \mathcal{D}_C \to \mathcal{H}_A \oplus (\Gamma \otimes \mathcal{D}_E).$$

It can be seen that W, the adjoint of W^*, is given by $\widehat{W}|_{\mathcal{H}_A \oplus (\Gamma \otimes \mathcal{D}_E)} : \mathcal{H}_A \oplus (\Gamma \otimes \mathcal{D}_E) \to \Gamma \otimes \mathcal{D}_C$. Then it follows from Proposition 2.2 that

$$W V_j^E = V_j^C W \text{ and } V_j^E W^* = W^* V_j^C \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, d.$$

3 Outgoing Cuntz Scattering System, Transfer Function and Characteristic Function of Lifting

In order to define an outgoing Cuntz scattering system, we need the following:

Definition 3.1. (1) Let $\underline{T} = (T_1, \dots, T_d)$ be a row isometry on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{L}$. A subspace $\mathcal{M}$ of $\mathcal{L}$ is called *wandering subspace* with respect to $\underline{T}$ if

$$T_\alpha \mathcal{M} \perp T_\beta \mathcal{M} \text{ for distinct } \alpha, \beta \in \tilde{\Lambda}.$$

(2) A tuple $\underline{T} = (T_1, \ldots, T_d)$ on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{L}$ is called a *row unitary* if $\underline{T}$ is a row isometry and $\overline{span}_{j=1,\ldots,d} T_j \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}$.

(3) A tuple $\underline{T} = (T_1, \ldots, T_d)$ on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{L}$ is called *row shift* if $\underline{T}$ is a row isometry and there exits a wandering subspace $\mathcal{M}$ of $\mathcal{L}$ with respect to $\underline{T}$ such that $\mathcal{L} = \oplus_{\alpha \in \tilde{\Lambda}} T_\alpha \mathcal{M}$.

We omit the proofs of the Theorem 3.3 and Theorem 3.5 in this section because they follow using similar arguments as those in sections 4 and 5 of [4]. In Chapter 5 of [2] an outgoing Cuntz scattering system is defined as a collection

$$(\underline{V} = (V_1, \ldots, V_d),\ \mathcal{L},\ \mathcal{G}_*^+,\ \mathcal{G})$$

such that $\underline{V}$ is a row isometry on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{L}$, and $\mathcal{G}_*^+$ and $\mathcal{G}$ are subspaces of $\mathcal{L}$ such that

(a) $\mathcal{G}_*^+$ is the smallest $\underline{V}-$invariant subspace containing

$$\mathcal{E}_* := \mathcal{L} \ominus \overline{span}_{j=1,\ldots,d} V_j \mathcal{L};$$

thus $\underline{V}|_{\mathcal{G}_*^+}$ is a row shift and $\mathcal{G}_*^+ = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \tilde{\Lambda}} V_\alpha \mathcal{E}_*$.

(b) $\underline{V}|_{\mathcal{G}}$ is a row shift; thus $\mathcal{G} = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \tilde{\Lambda}} V_\alpha \mathcal{E}$ where $\mathcal{E} := \mathcal{G} \ominus \overline{span}_{j=1,\ldots,d} V_j \mathcal{G}$.

Our goal is to find an outgoing Cuntz scattering system inside our model. Let as before $\underline{E}$ be a coisometric lifting of a row contraction $\underline{C}$ by $\underline{A}$ and $\widehat{\underline{V}}^E$ be the minimal isometric dilation of $\underline{E}$ of the form given by equation (2.1). First we show that tuples $\widehat{\underline{V}}^E = (\widehat{V}_1^E, \ldots, \widehat{V}_d^E)$ and $\underline{V}^E = (V_1^E, \ldots, V_d^E)$ on Hilbert spaces $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_E$ and $\mathcal{H}_A \oplus (\Gamma \otimes \mathcal{D}_E)$ are row unitary and row isometry respectively. Since $\widehat{\underline{V}}^E = (\widehat{V}_1^E, \ldots, \widehat{V}_d^E)$ is the minimal isometric dilation of $\underline{E} = (E_1, \ldots, E_d)$, it follows that $\widehat{V}_j^E$'s are isometries with orthogonal ranges. So V_j^E's are isometries with orthogonal ranges, because

$$V_j^E = \widehat{V}_j^E|_{\mathcal{H}_A \oplus (\Gamma \otimes \mathcal{D}_E)} : \mathcal{H}_A \oplus (\Gamma \otimes \mathcal{D}_E) \to \mathcal{H}_A \oplus (\Gamma \otimes \mathcal{D}_E) \text{ for } j = 1, \ldots, d.$$

Thus $\underline{V}^E = (V_1^E, \ldots, V_d^E)$ is a row isometry. Also $\sum_{j=1}^d \widehat{V}_j^E (\widehat{V}_j^E)^* = I$, i.e., $\widehat{\underline{V}}^E = (\widehat{V}_1^E, \ldots, \widehat{V}_d^E)$ is a row unitary. Define $\mathcal{E}_* := W^*(e_\emptyset \otimes \mathcal{D}_C)$. We claim that $\mathcal{E}_*$ is a wandering subspace with respect to $\underline{V}^E$. It is enough to prove that

$$W^*(e_\emptyset \otimes \mathcal{D}_C) \perp \overline{span}_{j=1,\ldots,d} V_j^E (\mathcal{H}_A \oplus (\Gamma \otimes \mathcal{D}_E)),$$

since V_j^E's are isometries with orthogonal ranges. If $y \in \mathcal{D}_C, h_a \in \mathcal{H}_A$, and $\sum_{\alpha \in \tilde{\Lambda}} e_\alpha \otimes \eta_\alpha \in \Gamma \otimes \mathcal{D}_E$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle\ W^*(e_\emptyset \otimes y), V_j^E(h_a \oplus \sum_{\alpha \in \tilde{\Lambda}} e_\alpha \otimes \eta_\alpha)\ \rangle \\
=\ &\langle\ U_1^* \tilde{U}_1(e_\emptyset \otimes y), E_j h_a \oplus e_\emptyset \otimes (D_E)_j h_a \oplus \sum_{\alpha \in \tilde{\Lambda}} e_j \otimes e_\alpha \otimes \eta_\alpha\ \rangle \\
=\ &\langle\ \sum_{i=1}^d \big((D_C)_i^* y_\emptyset \otimes \epsilon_i\big), U_1\big(E_j h_a \oplus e_\emptyset \otimes (D_E)_j h_a \oplus \sum_{\alpha \in \tilde{\Lambda}} e_j \otimes e_\alpha \otimes \eta_\alpha\big)\ \rangle \\
=\ &\langle\ \sum_{i=1}^d \big((D_C)_i^* y_\emptyset \otimes \epsilon_i\big), \sum_{i=1}^d \big((E_i^* E_j h_a + (D_E)_i^* (D_E)_j h_a) \otimes \epsilon_i\big) \oplus \sum_{\alpha \in \tilde{\Lambda}} e_j \otimes e_\alpha \otimes \eta_\alpha\ \rangle \\
=\ &\langle\ \sum_{i=1}^d \big((D_C)_i^* y_\emptyset \otimes \epsilon_i\big), h_a \otimes \epsilon_j \oplus \sum_{\alpha \in \tilde{\Lambda}} e_j \otimes e_\alpha \otimes \eta_\alpha\ \rangle = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

for $j = 1, \dots, d$. The last equality holds because $(D_C)_i^* y_\emptyset \in \mathcal{H}_C$ for $i = 1, \dots, d$ and $h_a \in \mathcal{H}_A$. So our claim is established.

Our next aim is to prove that

$$\mathcal{E}_* = \big(\mathcal{H}_A \oplus (\Gamma \otimes \mathcal{D}_E)\big) \ominus \overline{span}_{j=1,\dots,d} V_j^E \big(\mathcal{H}_A \oplus (\Gamma \otimes \mathcal{D}_E)\big).$$

The proof of the above claim shows that

$$\mathcal{E}_* = W^*(e_\emptyset \otimes \mathcal{D}_C) \subset \big(\mathcal{H}_A \oplus (\Gamma \otimes \mathcal{D}_E)\big) \ominus \overline{span}_{j=1,\dots,d} V_j^E \big(\mathcal{H}_A \oplus (\Gamma \otimes \mathcal{D}_E)\big). \tag{3.1}$$

To show the reverse inclusion, let

$$x \in \big(\mathcal{H}_A \oplus (\Gamma \otimes \mathcal{D}_E)\big) \ominus \overline{span}_{j=1,\dots,d} V_j^E \big(\mathcal{H}_A \oplus (\Gamma \otimes \mathcal{D}_E)\big).$$

We can write $x = u \oplus v$ where $u \in W^*(e_\emptyset \otimes \mathcal{D}_C)$ and $v \in \big(\mathcal{H}_A \oplus (\Gamma \otimes \mathcal{D}_E)\big) \ominus W^*(e_\emptyset \otimes \mathcal{D}_C)$. It follows from equation (3.1) that

$$u \in \big(\mathcal{H}_A \oplus (\Gamma \otimes \mathcal{D}_E)\big) \ominus \overline{span}_{j=1,\dots,d} V_j^E \big(\mathcal{H}_A \oplus (\Gamma \otimes \mathcal{D}_E)\big).$$

Then $v = x - u \in \big(\mathcal{H}_A \oplus (\Gamma \otimes \mathcal{D}_E)\big) \ominus \overline{span}_{j=1,\dots,d} V_j^E \big(\mathcal{H}_A \oplus (\Gamma \otimes \mathcal{D}_E)\big)$, i.e.,

$$v \perp \overline{span}_{j=1,\dots,d} V_j^E \big(\mathcal{H}_A \oplus (\Gamma \otimes \mathcal{D}_E)\big) \big(= \overline{span}_{j=1,\dots,d} \widehat{V}_j^E \big(\mathcal{H}_A \oplus (\Gamma \otimes \mathcal{D}_E)\big)\big). \tag{3.2}$$

Since $v \perp \mathcal{H}_C = \widehat{W}^* \mathcal{H}_C$ and $v \perp W^*(e_\emptyset \otimes \mathcal{D}_C) = \widehat{W}^*(e_\emptyset \otimes \mathcal{D}_C)$, we conclude that

$$v \perp \overline{span}_{j=1,\dots,d} \widehat{V}_j^E \mathcal{H}_C. \tag{3.3}$$

By equations (3.2) and (3.3), we see that $v \perp \overline{span}_{j=1,\dots,d} \widehat{V}_j^E \widehat{\mathcal{H}}_E$. We get $v \perp \widehat{\mathcal{H}}_E$, since $\underline{\widehat{V}}^E = (\widehat{V}_1^E, \dots, \widehat{V}_d^E)$ is a row unitary. Thus $v = 0$, and therefore $x = u \in W^*(e_\emptyset \otimes \mathcal{D}_C)$. This proves the reverse inclusion. Define $\mathcal{G} := \Gamma \otimes \mathcal{D}_E$. Since $V_j^E|_\mathcal{G} = L_j \otimes I_{\mathcal{D}_E}$, it follows that $\underline{V}^E|_\mathcal{G} = (V_1^E|_\mathcal{G}, \dots, V_d^E|_\mathcal{G})$ is a row isometry, and $e_\emptyset \otimes \mathcal{D}_E$ is a wandering subspace of $\mathcal{G}$ with respect to $\underline{V}^E|_\mathcal{G}$ such that

$$\mathcal{G} = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \tilde{\Lambda}} V_\alpha^E (e_\emptyset \otimes \mathcal{D}_E).$$

Thus $\underline{V}^E|_\mathcal{G} = (V_1^E|_\mathcal{G}, \dots, V_d^E|_\mathcal{G})$ is a row shift.

We summarize the preceding discussion in the following:

Theorem 3.2. *A collection*

$$(\underline{V}^E = (V_1^E, \dots, V_d^E), \mathcal{H}_A \oplus (\Gamma \otimes \mathcal{D}_E), \mathcal{G}_*^+ = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \tilde{\Lambda}} V_\alpha^E \mathcal{E}_*, \mathcal{G} = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \tilde{\Lambda}} V_\alpha^E \mathcal{E})$$

is an outgoing Cuntz scattering system where $\mathcal{E}_* = W^*(e_\emptyset \otimes \mathcal{D}_C)$ and $\mathcal{E} = e_\emptyset \otimes \mathcal{D}_E$.

Take the input space as $\mathcal{D}_E$ and the output space as $\mathcal{D}_C$. If

$$\tilde{C} := \sum_{j=1}^{d} (D_C)_j P_{\mathcal{H}_C} E_j^* : \mathcal{H}_E \to \mathcal{D}_C \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{D} := \sum_{j=1}^{d} (D_C)_j P_{\mathcal{H}_C} (D_E)_j^* : \mathcal{D}_E \to \mathcal{D}_C$$

where $P_{\mathcal{H}_C}$ is the orthogonal projection of $\mathcal{H}_E$ on to $\mathcal{H}_C$, then we define a *colligation of operators* [2] as follows:

$$\mathcal{C}_{U,\tilde{U}} := \begin{pmatrix} E_1^* & (D_E)_1^* \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ E_d^* & (D_E)_d^* \\ \tilde{C} & \tilde{D} \end{pmatrix} : \mathcal{H}_E \oplus \mathcal{D}_E \to \bigoplus_{j=1}^{d} \mathcal{H}_E \oplus \mathcal{D}_C.$$

Consider the following $\tilde{\Lambda}$-*linear system* $\sum_{U,\tilde{U}}$ or non-commutative Fornasini-Marchesini system in (cf. [1]) associated to the colligation $\mathcal{C}_{U,\tilde{U}}$:

$$x(j\alpha) = E_j^* x(\alpha) + (D_E)_j^* u(\alpha) \quad \text{and} \quad y(\alpha) = \tilde{C}x(\alpha) + \tilde{D}u(\alpha)$$

where $j = 1, \dots, d$ and $\alpha, j\alpha$ are words in $\tilde{\Lambda}$, and

$$x : \tilde{\Lambda} \to \mathcal{H}_E, \quad u : \tilde{\Lambda} \to \mathcal{D}_E, \quad y : \tilde{\Lambda} \to \mathcal{D}_C.$$

Let $z = (z_1, \dots, z_d)$ be a d-tuple of formal non-commuting indeterminates. Define Fourier transforms of x, u and y as

$$\hat{x}(z) = \sum_{\alpha \in \tilde{\Lambda}} x(\alpha) z^\alpha, \quad \hat{u}(z) = \sum_{\alpha \in \tilde{\Lambda}} u(\alpha) z^\alpha, \quad \hat{y}(z) = \sum_{\alpha \in \tilde{\Lambda}} y(\alpha) z^\alpha$$

respectively where $z^\alpha = z_{\alpha_n} \dots z_{\alpha_1}$ for $\alpha = \alpha_n \dots \alpha_1 \in \tilde{\Lambda}$. If we assume that $x(\emptyset) = 0$ and z-variables commute with the coefficients, then we get the input-output relation

$$\hat{y}(z) = \Theta_{U,\tilde{U}}(z)\hat{u}(z)$$

where $\Theta_{U,\tilde{U}}$ as a formal non-commutative power series is given by the following:

$$\Theta_{U,\tilde{U}}(z) := \sum_{\alpha \in \tilde{\Lambda}} \Theta_{U,\tilde{U}}^{(\alpha)} z^\alpha := \tilde{D} + \tilde{C} \sum_{\substack{\beta \in \tilde{\Lambda} \\ j=1,\dots,d}} (E_{\bar{\beta}})^* (D_E)_j^* z^{\beta j}.$$

Here $\bar{\beta} = \beta_1 \dots \beta_n$ is the reverse of $\beta = \beta_n \dots \beta_1$ and $\Theta_{U,\tilde{U}}^{(\alpha)}$ are operators from $\mathcal{D}_E$ to $\mathcal{D}_C$. We refer $\Theta_{U,\tilde{U}}$ as *transfer function* associated to the unitaries U and $\tilde{U}$. For a Hilbert space $\mathcal{V}$, a non-commutative analogue of Hardy space is the space $\ell^2(\tilde{\Lambda}, \mathcal{V})$ of formal power series $g(z) = \sum_{\alpha \in \tilde{\Lambda}} g_\alpha z^\alpha$ with $\|g\|_{\ell^2}^2 = \sum_{\alpha \in \tilde{\Lambda}} \|g_\alpha\|^2 < \infty$ where $g_\alpha \in \mathcal{V}$. The following theorem shows that the formal non-commutative power series $\Theta_{U,\tilde{U}}$ turns out to be a contractive operator between Hilbert spaces.

Theorem 3.3. *The map* $M_{\Theta_{U,\tilde{U}}} : \ell^2(\tilde{\Lambda}, \mathcal{D}_E) \to \ell^2(\tilde{\Lambda}, \mathcal{D}_C)$ *defined by*

$$M_{\Theta_{U,\tilde{U}}} \hat{u}(z) := \Theta_{U,\tilde{U}}(z)\hat{u}(z)$$

is a contraction .

$M_{\Theta_{U,\tilde{U}}}$ intertwines with right translation i.e.,

$$M_{\Theta_{U,\tilde{U}}}(\sum_{\alpha \in \tilde{\Lambda}} u(\alpha) z^\alpha z^i) = M_{\Theta_{U,\tilde{U}}}(\sum_{\alpha \in \tilde{\Lambda}} u(\alpha) z^\alpha) z^i$$

for $i = 1, \dots, d$. Thus $M_{\Theta_{U,\tilde{U}}}$ is a *multi-analytic operator* (cf. [9]). Since $M_{\Theta_{U,\tilde{U}}}$ is a contractive operator, the transfer function $\Theta_{U,\tilde{U}} \in \mathcal{S}_{nc,d}(\mathcal{D}_E, \mathcal{D}_C)$ (non-commutative d-variable Schur class, cf. section 2.4 of [2]) where

$$\mathcal{S}_{nc,d}(\mathcal{D}_E, \mathcal{D}_C) := \{T(z) = \sum_{\alpha \in \tilde{\Lambda}} T_\alpha z^\alpha : M_T : \ell^2(\tilde{\Lambda}, \mathcal{D}_E) \to \ell^2(\tilde{\Lambda}, \mathcal{D}_C) \text{ satisfies } \|M_T\| \leq 1\}.$$

Next we show that the transfer function coincides with the characteristic function of lifting [3]. Define unitaries $\Psi_C : \ell^2(\tilde{\Lambda}, \mathcal{D}_C) \to \Gamma \otimes \mathcal{D}_C$ and
$\Psi_E : \mathcal{D}_E z^\emptyset \to e_\emptyset \otimes \mathcal{D}_E$ by

$$\Psi_C\big(\sum_{\alpha \in \tilde{\Lambda}} y_\alpha z^\alpha\big) = \sum_{\alpha \in \tilde{\Lambda}} e_{\bar{\alpha}} \otimes y_\alpha \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi_E(\eta z^\emptyset) = e_\emptyset \otimes \eta$$

respectively where $y_\alpha \in \mathcal{D}_C$ and $\eta \in \mathcal{D}_E$. We observe that $\tilde{C}$ vanishes on $\mathcal{H}_C$ by the following argument. For $\tilde{h} \in \mathcal{H}_C$ we have

$$\tilde{C}\tilde{h} = \sum_{j=1}^{d} (D_C)_j P_{\mathcal{H}_C} E_j^* \tilde{h} = \sum_{j=1}^{d} (D_C)_j P_{\mathcal{H}_C} C_j^* \tilde{h} = \sum_{j=1}^{d} (D_C)_j C_j^* \tilde{h} = D_C \underline{C}^* \tilde{h}.$$

Since $\underline{C}$ is a coisometric tuple, the operator D_C is the orthogonal projection. So we have

$$\tilde{C}\tilde{h} = D_C^2 \underline{C}^* \tilde{h} = (I - \underline{C}^* \underline{C}) \underline{C}^* \tilde{h} = (\underline{C}^* - \ \underline{C}^* \underline{C}\ \underline{C}^*) \tilde{h} = (\underline{C}^* - \underline{C}^*) \tilde{h} = 0. \tag{3.4}$$

The second last equality follows by $\underline{C}$ is a coisometric tuple. Further, for $h_a \in \mathcal{H}_A$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{C} h_a &= \sum_{j=1}^{d} (D_C)_j P_{\mathcal{H}_C} E_j^* h_a = \sum_{j=1}^{d} (D_C)_j P_{\mathcal{H}_C} (B_j^* h_a \oplus A_j^* h_a) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{d} (D_C)_j B_j^* h_a = D_C \underline{B}^* h_a = \underline{B}^* h_a. \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

The last equality holds because D_C is the orthogonal projection and Range $\underline{B}^* \subset \mathcal{D}_C$. Define $D_{*,A} := (I - \underline{A}\underline{A}^*)^2 : \mathcal{H}_A \to \mathcal{H}_A$ and $\mathcal{D}_{*,A} := \overline{\text{Range } D_{*,A}}$. Since $\underline{E}$ is a coisometric lifting of $\underline{C}$, it follows from Theorem 2.1 of [3] that there exist an isometry $\gamma : \mathcal{D}_{*,A} \to \mathcal{D}_C$ with $\gamma D_{*,A} h_a = \underline{B}^* h_a$ for each $h_a \in \mathcal{H}_A$. By equation (3.5), we have

$$\tilde{C} h_a = \gamma D_{*,A} h_a \ \text{ for each } h_a \in \mathcal{H}_A. \tag{3.6}$$

We recall the following expansion of the symbol of the characteristic function $M_{C,E}$: $\Gamma \otimes \mathcal{D}_E \to \Gamma \otimes \mathcal{D}_C$ of lifting $\underline{E}$ of $\underline{C}$ from [3]: For $h \in \mathcal{H}_C$

$$\Theta_{C,E}(D_E)_i h = e_\emptyset \otimes [(D_C)_i h - \gamma D_{*,A} B_i h] - \sum_{|\alpha| \geq 1} e_\alpha \otimes \gamma D_{*,A} (A_\alpha)^* B_i h, \tag{3.7}$$

and for $h \in \mathcal{H}_A$

$$\Theta_{C,E}(D_E)_i h = -e_\emptyset \otimes \gamma D_{*,A} A_i h + \sum_{j=1,\dots,d} e_j \otimes \sum_{\alpha \in \tilde{\Lambda}} e_\alpha \otimes \gamma D_{*,A} (A_\alpha)^* (\delta_{ij} I - A_j^* A_i) h \tag{3.8}$$

where $i = 1, \dots, d$.

Theorem 3.4. *The transfer function $\Theta_{U,\tilde{U}}$ and the characteristic function $\Theta_{C,E}$ are related by the formula*

$$\Psi_C \Theta_{U,\tilde{U}}(z) = \Theta_{C,E} \Psi_E.$$

In other words, the transfer function $\Theta_{U,\tilde{U}}$ coincides with the characteristic function $\Theta_{C,E}$.

Proof. Let $h \in \mathcal{H}_E$. For $i = 1, \ldots, d$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi_C \Theta_{U,\tilde{U}}((D_E)_i h z^{\emptyset}) &= \Psi_C[\tilde{D}\ z^{\emptyset} + \sum_{\beta \in \tilde{\Lambda}, j=1,\ldots,d} \tilde{C}(E_{\bar{\beta}})^*(D_E)_j^* z^{\beta j}]((D_E)_i h z^{\emptyset}) \\
&= \Psi_C[\tilde{D}(D_E)_i h\ z^{\emptyset} + \sum_{\beta \in \tilde{\Lambda}, j=1,\ldots,d} \tilde{C}(E_{\bar{\beta}})^*(D_E)_j^*(D_E)_i h\ z^{\beta j}]. \qquad (3.9)
\end{aligned}$$

Case 1. For $h \in \mathcal{H}_C$ and $i = 1, \ldots, d$

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{D}(D_E)_i h &= \sum_{j=1}^{d} (D_C)_j P_{\mathcal{H}_C} (D_E)_j^* (D_E)_i h = \sum_{j=1}^{d} (D_C)_j P_{\mathcal{H}_C} (\delta_{ij} I - E_j^* E_i) h \\
&= (D_C)_i h - \big(\sum_{j=1}^{d} (D_C)_j P_{\mathcal{H}_C} E_j^*\big) E_i h = (D_C)_i h - \tilde{C} E_i h \\
&= (D_C)_i h - \tilde{C}(C_i h \oplus B_i h) = (D_C)_i h - \tilde{C} B_i h. \qquad (3.10)
\end{aligned}$$

The last equality follows by equation (3.4). The second part of equation (3.9) simplifies to

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\beta \in \tilde{\Lambda}, j=1,\ldots,d} \tilde{C}(E_{\bar{\beta}})^*(D_E)_j^*(D_E)_i h\ z^{\beta j} &= \sum_{\beta \in \tilde{\Lambda}, j=1,\ldots,d} \tilde{C}(E_{\bar{\beta}})^*(\delta_{ij} I - E_j^* E_i) h\ z^{\beta j} \\
&= \sum_{\beta \in \tilde{\Lambda}} \tilde{C}(E_{\bar{\beta}})^* h\ z^{\beta i} - \sum_{\beta \in \tilde{\Lambda}, j=1,\ldots,d} \tilde{C}(E_{\bar{\beta}})^* E_j^* E_i h\ z^{\beta j}.
\end{aligned}$$

By equation (3.4) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\beta \in \tilde{\Lambda}, j=1,\ldots,d} \tilde{C}(E_{\bar{\beta}})^*(D_E)_j^*(D_E)_i h\ z^{\beta j} &= -\sum_{\beta \in \tilde{\Lambda}, j=1,\ldots,d} \tilde{C}(E_{\bar{\beta}})^* E_j^* E_i h\ z^{\beta j} \\
&= -\sum_{\beta \in \tilde{\Lambda}, j=1,\ldots,d} \tilde{C}(E_{\bar{\beta}})^* \big((C_j^* C_i + B_j^* B_i) h \oplus A_j^* B_i h\big)\ z^{\beta j} \\
&= -\sum_{\beta \in \tilde{\Lambda}, j=1,\ldots,d} \tilde{C}(A_{\bar{\beta}})^* A_j^* B_i h\ z^{\beta j} \\
&= -\sum_{|\alpha| \geq 1} \tilde{C}(A_{\bar{\alpha}})^* B_i h\ z^{\alpha}. \qquad (3.11)
\end{aligned}$$

The equality which is second from below in the above equation array follows by equation (3.4). By equations (3.9), (3.10), and (3.11), we have for $i = 1, \ldots, d$ and $h \in \mathcal{H}_C$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi_C \Theta_{U,\tilde{U}}((D_E)_i h z^{\emptyset}) &= \Psi_C[(D_C)_i h - \tilde{C} B_i h)\ z^{\emptyset} - \sum_{|\alpha| \geq 1} \tilde{C}(A_{\bar{\alpha}})^* B_i h\ z^{\alpha}] \\
&= e_{\emptyset} \otimes ((D_C)_i h - \tilde{C} B_i h) - \sum_{|\alpha| \geq 1} e_{\bar{\alpha}} \otimes \tilde{C}(A_{\bar{\alpha}})^* B_i h \\
&= e_{\emptyset} \otimes [(D_C)_i h - \gamma D_{*,A} B_i h] - \sum_{|\alpha| \geq 1} e_{\bar{\alpha}} \otimes \gamma D_{*,A}(A_{\bar{\alpha}})^* B_i h.
\end{aligned}$$

By equation (3.7) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi_C\Theta_{U,\tilde{U}}((D_E)_i h z^{\emptyset}) &= \Theta_{C,E}(e_{\emptyset}\otimes (D_E)_i h) \\ &= \Theta_{C,E}\Psi_E((D_E)_i h z^{\emptyset}). \qquad (3.12)\end{aligned}$$

Case 2. For $h \in \mathcal{H}_A$ and $i = 1, \ldots, d$

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{D}(D_E)_i h &= \sum_{j=1}^{d}(D_C)_j P_{\mathcal{H}_C}(D_E)_j^*(D_E)_i h = \sum_{j=1}^{d}(D_C)_j P_{\mathcal{H}_C}(\delta_{ij}I - E_j^* E_i)h \\ &= (D_C)_i P_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}} h - \big(\sum_{j=1}^{d} D_j P_{\mathcal{H}_C} E_j^*\big)E_i h = -\tilde{C}A_i h. \qquad (3.13)\end{aligned}$$

Consider again the second part of equation (3.9).

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\beta\in\tilde{\Lambda}, j=1,\ldots,d}\tilde{C}(E_{\bar{\beta}})^*(D_E)_j^*(D_E)_i h\ z^{\beta j} &= \sum_{\beta\in\tilde{\Lambda}, j=1,\ldots,d}\tilde{C}(E_{\bar{\beta}})^*(\delta_{ij}I - E_j^* E_i)h\ z^{\beta j} \\ &= \sum_{\beta\in\tilde{\Lambda}, j=1,\ldots,d}\tilde{C}(A_{\bar{\beta}})^*(\delta_{ij}I - A_j^* A_i)h\ z^{\beta j}. \quad (3.14)\end{aligned}$$

The last equality follows from equation (3.4). By equations (3.9), (3.13), and (3.14), we have for $i = 1, \ldots, d$ and $h \in \mathcal{H}_A$

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi_C\Theta_{U,\tilde{U}}((D_E)_i h z^{\emptyset}) &= \Psi_C[-\tilde{C}A_i h\ z^{\emptyset} + \sum_{\beta\in\tilde{\Lambda}, j=1,\ldots,d}\tilde{C}(A_{\bar{\beta}})^*(\delta_{ij}I - A_j^* A_i)h\ z^{\beta j}] \\ &= -e_{\emptyset}\otimes\tilde{C}A_i h + \sum_{\beta\in\tilde{\Lambda}, j=1,\ldots,d} e_j\otimes e_{\bar{\beta}}\otimes\tilde{C}(A_{\bar{\beta}})^*(\delta_{ij}I - A_j^* A_i)h) \\ &= -e_{\emptyset}\otimes\gamma D_{*,A}A_i h + \sum_{\beta\in\tilde{\Lambda}, j=1,\ldots,d} e_j\otimes e_{\bar{\beta}}\otimes\gamma D_{*,A}(A_{\bar{\beta}})^*(\delta_{ij}I - A_j^* A_i)h.\end{aligned}$$

Equation (3.8) yields

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi_C\Theta_{U,\tilde{U}}((D_E)_i h z^{\emptyset}) &= \Theta_{C,E}(e_{\emptyset}\otimes (D_E)_i h \\ &= \Theta_{C,E}\Psi_E((D_E)_i h z^{\emptyset}). \qquad (3.15)\end{aligned}$$

We infer from equations (3.12) and (3.15) that

$$\Psi_C\Theta_{U,\tilde{U}} = \Theta_{C,E}\Psi_E.$$

□

We extend the unitary Ψ_E to a unitary (also denoted by Ψ_E) from $\mathcal{H}_A \oplus \ell^2(\tilde{\Lambda}, \mathcal{D}_E)$ on to $\mathcal{H}_A \oplus (\Gamma \otimes \mathcal{D}_E)$ by

$$\Psi_E(h_a \oplus \sum_{\alpha\in\tilde{\Lambda}}\eta_\alpha z^\alpha) = (h_a \oplus \sum_{\alpha\in\tilde{\Lambda}} e_{\bar{\alpha}}\otimes\eta_\alpha)$$

where $h_a \in \mathcal{H}_A$ and $\eta_\alpha \in \mathcal{D}_E$. Using the unitaries Ψ_C, Ψ_E, and the coisometry W of section 2 we define Ψ_W by the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{H}_A \oplus (\Gamma \otimes \mathcal{D}_E) \arrow[r, "W"] \arrow[d, "\Psi_E^{-1}"'] & \Gamma \otimes \mathcal{D}_C \arrow[d, "\Psi_C^{-1}"] \\
\mathcal{H}_A \oplus \ell^2(\tilde{\Lambda}, \mathcal{D}_E) \arrow[r, "\Psi_W"] & \ell^2(\tilde{\Lambda}, \mathcal{D}_C),
\end{tikzcd} \tag{3.16}$$

i.e., $\Psi_W = \Psi_C^{-1} W \Psi_E$. Similar to Theorem 5.1 of [4] we have

Theorem 3.5. *The operator Ψ_W satisfies the relation*

$$\Psi_W|_{\ell^2(\tilde{\Lambda},\mathcal{D}_E)} = M_{\Theta_{U,\tilde{U}}}.$$

Observe that we also obtain

$$W|_{e_\emptyset \otimes \mathcal{D}_E} = \Theta_{C,E}.$$

Acknowledgement: The author is thankful to Santanu Dey for many helpful discussions.

References

[1] Ball J, Groenewald G and Malakorn T, *Conservative structured noncommutative multidimensional linear systems. The state space method generalizations and applications*, Oper. Theory Adv. Appl. **161**, Birkhäuser, Basel (2006) 179-223

[2] Ball J and Vinnikov V, *Lax-Phillips scattering and conservative linear systems: a Cuntz-algebra multidimensional setting*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **178** (2005)

[3] Dey S and Gohm R, *Characteristic functions of liftings*, J. Operator Theory, **65** (2011) 17-45

[4] Dey S and Haria K, *Generalized repeated interaction model and transfer functions*, preprint(2011) http://arxiv.org/abs/1112.4590v1

[5] Gough J, Gohm R and Yanagisawa, *Linear Quantum feedback Networks*, Phys. Rev. A, **78** (2008) p. 062104

[6] Gohm R, *Non-commutative Markov chains and multi-analytic operators*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **364** (2010) 275-288

[7] Popescu G, *Isometric dilations for infinite sequences of noncommuting operators*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **316** (1989) 523-536

[8] Popescu G, *Characteristic functions for infinite sequences of noncommuting operators*, J. Operator Theory, **22** (1989) 51-71

[9] Popescu G, *Multi-analytic operators on Fock spaces*, Math. Ann. **303** (1995) 31-46

[10] Popescu G, *Poisson transforms on some C^*-algebras generated by isometries*, J. Funct. Anal. **161** (1999) 27-61

[11] Sz.-Nagy B and Foias C, *Harmonic analysis of operators on Hilbert space* (Amsterdam-Budapest: North Holland Publishing Co.) (1970)

[12] Yanagisawa M and Kimura H, *Transfer function approach to quantum control, part I: Dynamics of Quantum feedback systems*, IEEE Transactions on Automatic control, **48** (2003) 2107-2120